

Dan Miller

From: Sean P. Trimble [strimble@geoengineers.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2007 11:19 AM
To: Labaw.Joanne@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Dan Miller; Dave A. Cook
Subject: RE: Follow on TBA work

Hi Joanne,

Thanks for you comments. It is our understanding that the next field activity is for E&E to collect additional soil samples using a Geoprobe rig in order to define the extent of contamination identified in our preliminary assessment. Based on our observations, we recommend field screening soil samples at least on 2.5-foot intervals and performing chemical testing on at least two to three soil samples from each Geoprobe boring. The current scope does not include evaluation of sediment at this time.

Our planned schedule included meeting with the City of Bremerton, the EPA, and E&E to discuss our initial findings and help develop the scope/sampling plan for the next phase of investigation. Perhaps we could schedule a meeting for next week?

Sincerely,
Sean

-----Original Message-----

From: Labaw.Joanne@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Labaw.Joanne@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, October 22, 2007 1:38 PM

To: Sean P. Trimble

Cc: Dan Miller; Dave A. Cook

Subject: Follow on TBA work

Hello,

We're starting to plan the next field event and I had a few questions about what your ideas were for the proposed investigation. It was my understanding that we were going to collect additional soil samples with the geoprobe to get a better idea of the extent of contamination. It was also my understanding we were not to look at sediments, correct? Also, for each boring we could sample every four feet, or take fewer samples which could mean we could drill more borings. We could also consider some site screening techniques for the petroleum products.

...It's been a long time since last February. Please let me know what your thoughts are. The report looked good. I didn't have any input.

Joanne LaBaw
U.S. EPA--Region 10 (ECL-112)
1200 Sixth Ave., Suite 900
Seattle, WA 98101

(206) 553-2594
labaw.joanne@epa.gov